

## Tables

Interventions where new studies have been published are indicated in ***bold italics***. Changes in conclusions are indicated in *italics* and are highlighted in yellow.

Any interventions, where RCTs in PD are not available, are not included in the tables.

With the exception of one low-quality safety study, which lasted 76 weeks,<sup>1</sup> all of the studies included in this review had a maximum duration of 6 months. Therefore, these recommendations do not refer to the long-term management of a given non-motor symptom in PD.

**Table 1: Interventions to treat depression including depressive symptoms in PD**

INTERVENTION		EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY *
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			
<b>DOPAMINE AGONISTS</b>	Pramipexole	Efficacious	Clinically useful	
	Pergolide	Insufficient evidence	Not useful	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring
	<b>Rotigotine</b>	<b>Unlikely efficacious</b>	<b>Investigational</b>	
<b>MAO-B inhibitors</b>	<b>Rasagiline</b>	<b>Insufficient evidence</b>	<b>Investigational</b>	
	Selegeline	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
	Moclobemide	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring <sup>C</sup>
<b>TRICYCLIC ANTIDEPRESSANTS (TCA)</b>	Nortriptyline	Likely efficacious	Possibly useful	
	Desipramine	Likely efficacious	Possibly useful	
	Amitriptyline	Insufficient evidence	<b>Possibly useful</b> 2	

<b>SELECTIVE SEROTONIN REUPTAKE INHIBITORS (SSRIS)/ SELECTIVE SEROTONIN NOREPINEPHRINE REUPTAKE INHIBITORS</b>	Citalopram	Insufficient evidence	<b>Possibly useful</b> 1	Acceptable risk
	Sertraline	Insufficient evidence	<b>Possibly useful</b> 1	
	<b>Paroxetine</b>	insufficient evidence	<b>Possibly useful</b> 1	
	Fluoxetine	Insufficient evidence	<b>Possibly useful</b> 2	
	<b>Venlafaxine</b>	<b>Efficacious</b>	<b>Clinically useful</b>	
<b>OTHER ANTIDEPRESSANTS</b>	Atomoxetine	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
	Nefazodone	Insufficient evidence	Not useful	Unacceptable risk
<b>ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES</b>	Ω-3 fatty acids	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
<b>NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS</b>	<b>rTMS</b>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<b>Possibly useful</b> (short-term)	
	<b>CBT</b>	<b>Likely efficacious</b>	<b>Possibly useful</b>	<b>Insufficient evidence</b>

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Although RCTs for PD depression report conflicting data for efficacy, the practice implication is "possibly useful" due to proven antidepressant efficacy and license outside of PD.

<sup>2</sup> Although RCTs did not contain a placebo arm, the practice implication is "possibly useful" due to proven antidepressant efficacy and license outside of PD.

<sup>c</sup> Combined treatment with either TCAs or SSRIs carries an unacceptable risk.

<sup>d</sup> Combined treatment with either TCAs or SSRIs is unacceptable.

Table 2: Interventions to treat apathy in PD

INTERVENTION		EFFICACY	PRACTICE	SAFETY*
<b>DRUG CLASS</b>	<b>DRUG</b>			
<b>DOPAMINE AGONISTS</b>	<i>Piribedil</i> <sup>1</sup>	<i>Likely efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	
	<i>Rotigotine</i>	<i>Unlikely efficacious</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	
<b>ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS</b>	<i>Rivastigmine</i>	<i>Efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Recommendations apply only for PD patients following STN stimulation

**Table 3: Interventions to treat medication-related impulse dyscontrol and abnormal repetitive behaviors in PD**

INTERVENTION		EFFICACY	PRACTICE	SAFETY*
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			
<b>NMDA ANTAGONISTS</b>	<b>Amantadine</b> <sup>1</sup>	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
<b>ANTI-OPIOIDS</b>	<b>Naltrexone</b> <sup>2</sup>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>
<b>NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS</b>	<b>CBT</b> <sup>2</sup>	<i>Likely efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Recommendations apply for PD patients with pathological gambling

<sup>2</sup> Recommendations apply for PD patients with ICDs

**Table 4: Interventions to treat dementia in PD**

DRUG CLASS	DRUG	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY*
<b>ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS</b>	<i>Donepezil</i>	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>1</sup>	
	Rivastigmine	Efficacious	Clinically useful	
	Galantamine	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>2</sup>	
<b>NMDA ANTAGONISTS</b>	<b>MEMANTINE</b>	Insufficient evidence	<i>Investigational</i>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Refers to donepezil 10mg; although RCTs to treat dementia in PD with donepezil report conflicting data for efficacy, the practice implication for donepezil is "possibly useful" due to the proven antidementive efficacy and license outside of PD.

<sup>2</sup> Although there is "insufficient evidence" for galantamine to be rated for the treatment of dementia in PD, the practice implication is "possibly useful" due to the proven antidementive efficacy and license outside of PD. Moreover, there were positive signals in favor for galantamine in the trial performed for PD dementia.

Table 5: Drugs to treat non-dementia cognitive impairment in PD

INTERVENTION		EFFICACY	PRACTICE	SAFETY*
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			
ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS	<i>Rivastigmine</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	
MAO-B INHIBITORS	<i>Rasagiline</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	
NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS	<i>t-DCS</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>
	<i>Cognitive rehabilitation</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

**Table 6: Interventions to treat psychosis in PD**

DRUG	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY *
<b>CLOZAPINE</b>	Efficacious	Clinically useful	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring
<b>OLANZAPINE</b>	<i>Not efficacious</i>	<i>Not useful</i>	Unacceptable risk
<b>QUETIAPINE</b>	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>1</sup>	
<b>PIMAVANSERIN</b>	<i>Efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i> <sup>3</sup>

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring". Generally, all atypical antipsychotics must be used with great caution in demented patients with psychosis due to risk of adverse events including falls, cognitive worsening, pneumonia, cardiovascular effects, stroke and death.<sup>2</sup> Indeed, the FDA mandates that antipsychotic drug manufacturers add black box warnings to labels and prescribing information because of the link found between antipsychotics and an increased mortality risk in elderly dementia patients.

<sup>1</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for quetiapine to be rated for the treatment of psychosis in PD, the practice implication is "possibly useful". There are no high-quality RCTs available for the treatment of quetiapine for psychosis in PD and quetiapine was similarly efficacious to clozapine in the clozapine-controlled trials. Moreover, the NICE guidelines (NICE 1.5.16) consider quetiapine acceptable for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions in people with PD who have no cognitive impairment.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Based on information of a *World Report* in the *Lancet*, where it has been stated that pimavanserin succeeded only after three previous trials had failed to demonstrate a benefit.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Due to lack of safety data regarding durability beyond 6 weeks and because the FDA is currently conducting an evaluation of available information about pimavanserin after the publication of reports of post-marketing adverse events.<sup>4</sup>



Table 7: Drugs to treat disorders of sleep and wakefulness in PD

DISORDERS OF SLEEP AND WAKEFULNESS	DRUG	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY*
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			
<b>INSOMNIA</b>				
<b>LEVODOPA</b>	Controlled-release formulation of levodopa/carbidopa	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
<b>DOPAMINE AGONISTS</b>	Pergolide	Insufficient evidence	Not useful	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring
	Piribedil	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
	Rotigotine	Likely efficacious	Possibly useful	
<b>HYPNOTICS</b>	Eszopiclone	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>1</sup>	
<b>MELATONIN</b>	3-5mg	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>2</sup>	
	50mg	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	Insufficient evidence
<b>NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS</b>	Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) <sup>A</sup>	<i>Likely efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	
<b>EXCESSIVE DAYTIME SOMNOLENCE AND SUDDEN ONSET OF SLEEP</b>				
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			

<b>PSYCHOACTIVE DRUGS</b>	Modafinil	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>3</sup>	Insufficient evidence
	Caffeine	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	
<b>NON- PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS</b>	Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) <sup>A</sup>	<i>Likely efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for eszopiclone to be rated for the treatment of insomnia in PD, it can improve global and sleep outcomes for insomnia disorder, and it can be associated with associated with infrequent but serious harms such as fractures, and major injury.<sup>5</sup>Therefore, the practice implication is suggested to be possibly useful.

<sup>2</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for melatonin to be rated for the treatment of insomnia in PD, it provided significant benefits on measures of insomnia compared to placebo in patients with PD and insomnia. Moreover, melatonin has not only been approved in the EU for patients aged 55 or over suffering from primary insomnia, but is available over-the-counter in the United States since the mid-1990s. Therefore, the practice implication is "possibly useful".

<sup>3</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for modafinil to be rated for the treatment of excessive daytime somnolence in PD, it provided significant benefits on measures of excessive daytime somnolence compared to placebo in patients with PD and excessive daytime somnolence. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis of three trials evaluating modafinil showed a significant reduction in sleepiness, as assessed by the Epworth Sleepiness Scale.<sup>6</sup>).

<sup>A</sup> Recommendations apply for PD patients with obstructive sleep apnea

Table 8: Interventions to treat autonomic dysfunction in PD

	DRUG/ INTERVENTION	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY*
ORTHOSTATIC HYPOTENSION	Fludrocortisone	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>1</sup>	Insufficient evidence
	Midodrine	Insufficient evidence	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>2</sup>	Insufficient evidence
	Domperidone	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	<i>Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring</i> <sup>3</sup>
	Yohimbine	Non efficacious	Investigational	Insufficient evidence
	<b>Droxidopa</b> <sup>4</sup>	<i>Efficacious</i> (short-term)	<i>Possibly useful</i>	<i>Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring</i> (short-term)
SEXUAL DYSFUNCTION	<b>Sildenafil</b>	<i>Efficacious</i>	<i>Clinically useful</i>	
CONSTIPATION	Macrogol	Likely efficacious	Possibly useful	
	<b>Lubiprostone</b>	<i>Likely efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	
	<b>Probiotics and prebiotic fiber</b>	<i>Efficacious</i>	<i>Clinically useful</i>	
	<b>Abdominal massages</b>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>
ANOREXIA, NAUSEA AND VOMITING ASSOCIATED WITH LEVODOPA AND/OR DOPAMINE	Domperidone	Likely efficacious	Possibly useful	<i>Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring</i> <sup>3</sup>

AGONIST TREATMENT				
SIALORRHEA	Ipratropium Bromide Spray	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	Insufficient evidence
	Glycopyrrolate	Efficacious	Possibly useful	Insufficient evidence
	<b><i>Botulinum Toxin B</i></b>	Efficacious	Clinically useful	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring
	Botulinum Toxin A	Efficacious	Clinically useful	Acceptable risk with specialized monitoring
URINARY FREQUENCY, URGENCY, AND/OR URGE INCONTINENCE	<b><i>Solifenacin</i></b> <sup>5</sup>	<b><i>Insufficient evidence</i></b>	<b><i>Possibly useful</i></b> <sup>6</sup>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for fludrocortisone to be rated for the treatment of OH in PD, it provided some significant benefits in one RCT.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the American Society of Hypertension Writing Group recommend fludrocortisone in the non-hypertensive patient for the pharmacological treatment of OH in general.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, the practice implication is "possibly useful".

<sup>2</sup> Although there is insufficient evidence for midodrine to be rated for the treatment of OH in PD, it provided some significant benefits on measures of OH in RCTs in mixed population of patients of which only a subgroup had PD.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, the American Society of Hypertension Writing Group recommend midodrine in the hypertensive patient or in patients with history of heart failure for the pharmacological treatment of OH in general.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, the practice implication is "possibly useful".

<sup>3</sup> due to the risk of QT prolongation and the association with ventricular tachyarrhythmia /sudden cardiac death in PD patients with preexisting cardiac disease..

<sup>4</sup> Recommendations are for the very short-term treatment of OH in PD, while there is insufficient evidence to conclude on the efficacy and safety of droxidopa for the treatment of OH in PD for the long-term.

<sup>5</sup> for the treatment of overactive bladder

<sup>6</sup> There were some significant benefits in the active arm and as such the practice implications for solifenacin for the treatment of overactive bladder is "possibly useful" due to the established efficacy and license of solifenacin in this indication outside PD.

Table 9: Interventions to treat fatigue in PD

INTERVENTION		EFFICACY	PRACTICE	SAFETY*
DRUG CLASS	DRUG			
<b>MAO-B INHIBITORS</b>	<i>Rasagiline</i>	<i>Efficacious</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i>	
<b>PSYCHOACTIVE DRUGS</b>	<b>Methylphenidate</b>	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	Insufficient evidence
	<b>Modafinil</b>	Insufficient evidence	Investigational	Insufficient evidence
<b>NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS</b>	<i>Acupuncture</i>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

**Table 10: Interventions to treat pain in PD**

DRUG	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY *
<b>ROTIGOTINE</b>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>investigational</i>	
<b>OXYCODONE-NALOXONE PROLONGED RELEASE</b>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Possibly useful</i> <sup>1</sup>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

<sup>1</sup> There were some significant benefits in the active arm such as the practice implications for oxycodone/naloxone prolonged release for the treatment of pain is "possibly useful" due to the established efficacy and license of oxycodone/naloxone prolonged release in adults with severe chronic pain outside PD.

**Table 12: Interventions to treat non-motor symptoms in PD**

DRUG	EFFICACY	PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS	SAFETY *
<b>ROTIGOTINE</b>	<i>Insufficient evidence</i>	<i>Investigational</i>	

\*Unless otherwise specified safety conclusions are "Acceptable risk without specialized monitoring".

1. Emre M, Poewe W, De Deyn PP, et al. Long-term safety of rivastigmine in parkinson disease dementia: an open-label, randomized study. *Clinical neuropharmacology* 2014;37:9-16.
2. Steinberg M, Lyketsos CG. Atypical antipsychotic use in patients with dementia: managing safety concerns. *The American journal of psychiatry* 2012;169:900-906.
3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Parkinson's disease in adults: NICE guideline [NG71]. 2017; [https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng71/chapter/Recommendations\\_-\\_pharmacological-management-of-non-motor-symptoms](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng71/chapter/Recommendations_-_pharmacological-management-of-non-motor-symptoms)) Last accessed: 29th May 2018.
4. Webster P. Pimavanserin evaluated by the FDA. *Lancet* 2018;391:1762.
5. Brasure M, Jutkowitz E, Fuchs E, et al. In: *Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Agitation and Aggression in Dementia*. Rockville (MD), 2016.
6. Rodrigues TM, Castro Caldas A, Ferreira JJ. Pharmacological interventions for daytime sleepiness and sleep disorders in Parkinson's disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parkinsonism & related disorders* 2016;27:25-34.
7. Seppi K, Weintraub D, Coelho M, et al. The Movement Disorder Society Evidence-Based Medicine Review Update: Treatments for the non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2011;26 Suppl 3:S42-80.
8. Shibao C, Lipsitz LA, Biaggioni I. Evaluation and treatment of orthostatic hypotension. *Journal of the American Society of Hypertension : JASH* 2013;7:317-324.
9. Goetz C, Koller W, Poewe W. Management of Parkinson's disease: an evidence-based review. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2002;17 Suppl 4:S1-166.